



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_8098
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Herpes Zoster Ophthalmicus Ophthalmoplegia
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Comment: Introduction Lines 23-24: "...the third being the commonest and the fourth being the least^[7]." Modify the above section of the sentence for clarity/comprehension. Consider "...the third cranial nerve being the commonest and the fourth cranial nerve being the least^[7]." 2) Comment: References Please revise using the journal guidelines to authors. Note the referencing style of the journal. Lines 147-190. Pay particular attention to the type/location/use/placement of punctuation marks.	We would like to thank the reviewer for all his comment. 1) Reply: We have modified it accordingly. 2) Reply: The reference format has been revised according to the referencing style of the journal.
Minor REVISION comments	Comment: Case presentation Line 43: "...5 times a day, antibiotics eye drops and 5% acyclovir skin ointment 3 times a day." Name the antibiotics used include frequency/duration.	Reply We have modified it accordingly.
Optional/General comments	1) Comment: The case presentation should have included important details for instance, previous history of chicken pox, immunosuppressive state (Diabetes mellitus, chronic use of steroid, HIV/AIDS). Note: above may be	1) Reply: The patient was immunocompetent without significant history of diabetes, hypertension, chronic steroid use, HIV infection etc. The patient had a past history of chickenpox during



SDI Review Form 1.6

	dismissed in a sentence if they are not present. 2) Comment: Inclusion of CT/MRI findings after the resolution of the ophthalmoplegia say weeks/months after would have added value to this report. 3) Comments: Any acknowledgement? It is commendable that the manuscript contains section indicating that author(s) obtained informed consent from the patient. However, approval from Institutional Review Board (Ethical committee approval) would have been obtained.	his childhood. The above mentioned details have been added into the manuscript accordingly. 2) Reply: Patient declined to have a follow up CT/MRI due to cost issues. 3) Reply: No acknowledgement Informed consent was obtained for using the photographs for publication and teaching purposes.
--	---	---